



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/808,512	03/14/2001	Kayode A. Williams	UOM 0193 PUS	4539

7590 06/17/2002

Stephanie M. Mansfield  
Brooks & Kushman P.C.  
22nd Floor  
1000 Town Center  
Southfield, MI 48075-1351

EXAMINER

PATEL, MITAL B

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3761

DATE MAILED: 06/17/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

# Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/808,512

Applicant(s)

WILLIAMS ET AL.

Examiner

Mitai B. Patel

Art Unit

3761

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

## Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

## Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 March 2001.
- 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

## Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-31 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-31 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

## Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 13 April 2001 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.  
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

## Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:  
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.  
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  
\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

## Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). \_\_\_\_\_
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 3 6) ☐ Other:

## DETAILED ACTION

### *Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102*

(e) the invention was described in-

(1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or

(2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).

1. Claims 1-3, 6, 10, and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Clayton (US 6197044).
2. **As to claim 1**, Clayton teaches a medical pacifier for delivering gas to a patient, the pacifier comprising a nipple member **14** adapted to be received within an oral cavity of the patient, the nipple member having a conduit extending therethrough and an outlet opening **36** provided therein; and a base **16** attached to the nipple member and adapted to remain outside the oral cavity, the base including an inlet opening (see Figure 2) provided therein and a lumen **18** extending therethrough which is in fluid communication with the conduit of the nipple member, wherein the inlet opening is adapted to be connected to an external gas source such that gas can flow through the base and the nipple member for delivery via the outlet opening into the oral cavity of the patient.
3. **As to claim 2**, Clayton teaches a medical pacifier wherein the base includes a base plate disposed generally perpendicular to a longitudinal axis of the nipple member, the base plate having a concave front surface facing the nipple member and a convex rear surface facing away from the nipple member.

Art Unit: 3761

4. **As to claim 3**, Clayton teaches a medical pacifier wherein the base further includes a connector **33** projecting from the rear surface of the base plate, wherein the lumen extends through the connector and the inlet opening is disposed in a proximal end of the connector.
5. **As to claim 6**, Clayton teaches a medical pacifier wherein the outlet opening is provided in a distal end of the nipple member.
6. **As to claim 10**, Clayton teaches a medical pacifier wherein the pacifier is molded from a plastic material.
7. **As to claim 12**, Clayton teaches a medical pacifier for delivering gas to a patient, the pacifier comprising a base **16** adapted to remain outside an oral cavity of the patient, the base including a base plate having a concave front surface and a convex rear surface, a connector **33** projecting from the base plate rear surface which includes an inlet opening provided in a proximal end thereof and a lumen **18** extending therethrough; a nipple member **14** projecting from the base plate front surface adapted to be received within an oral cavity of the patient, the nipple member having a conduit extending therethrough which is in fluid communication and an outlet opening **36** provided in a distal end therein, wherein the inlet opening is adapted to be connected to a source of anesthetic gas, such that anesthetic gas can flow through the pacifier for delivery via the outlet opening into the oral cavity of the patient.

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 3761

8. Claims 24, 25, 28, 29, and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hinkle (US 4896666).

9. **As to claim 24**, Hinkle teaches a method for delivering gas to a patient, the method comprising inserting a medical pacifier into an oral cavity of the patient, the pacifier including a nipple member **12** adapted to be received within the oral cavity and having a conduit extending therethrough and an outlet opening **36** provided therein, and a base attached to the nipple member and adapted to remain outside the oral cavity, the base including an inlet opening provided therein and a lumen extending therethrough which is in fluid communication with the conduit of the nipple member; connecting a gas source to the inlet opening; and supplying gas through the base and the nipple member for delivery via the outlet opening into the oral cavity of the patient (See Figs. 2 and 3, also Col. 3, lines 64-68 and Col. 4, lines 1-31 and lines 52-65).

10. **As to claim 25**, Hinkle teaches a method wherein supplying the gas includes supplying anesthetic gas.

11. **As to claim 26**, Hinkle teaches a method wherein supplying gas includes delivering gas toward the pacifier under positive pressure (See Col. 4, line 64).

12. **As to claim 28**, Hinkle teaches a method further including dispensing medication into the oral cavity of the patient via the nipple member.

13. **As to claim 29**, Hinkle teaches a method further including dipping the nipple member into a dextrose solution prior to inserting the pacifier into the oral cavity of the patient (See Col. 5, lines 26-27).

Art Unit: 3761

14. **As to claim 31**, Hinkle teaches a method of further including placing a facemask on the patient.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

15. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

16. Claims 4, 5, 7, 9, 11, 13-20, 22 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Clayton.

17. **As to claim 4**, Clayton teaches essentially all of the limitations except for the specific dimensions of the connector. However, Applicant has not set forth how the particular limitation solves a stated problem or is advantages over the prior art, therefore, the connector of Clayton would perform equally as well. Finally, such a limitation may be arrived through routine observation and experimentation.

18. **As to claim 5**, Clayton teaches essentially all of the limitations except for the specific shape of the connector. However, Applicant has not set forth how the particular limitation solves a stated problem or is advantages over the prior art, therefore, the connector of Clayton would perform equally as well. Finally, such a limitation may be arrived through routine observation and experimentation.

19. **As to claim 7**, pacifiers with a handle ring pivotally attached to the rear surface of the base plate are known in the art (see cited references).

20. **As to claim 9**, pacifiers wherein the nipple member is impregnated with medication are known in the art (see cited references).

21. **As to claim 11**, Clayton teaches essentially all of the limitations except for wherein the pacifier is of one-piece construction. However, such a construction is known in the art (see cited references).

22. **As to claim 13**, Clayton teaches an apparatus for inducing anesthesia in patient, the apparatus comprising a medical pacifier, the pacifier including a nipple member **14** adapted to be received within an oral cavity of the patient, the nipple member having a conduit extending therethrough and an outlet opening **36** provided therein, and a base **16** attached to the nipple member and adapted to remain outside the oral cavity, the base including an inlet opening provided therein and a lumen **18** extending therethrough which is in fluid communication with the conduit of the nipple member, wherein the inlet opening is adapted to be connected to the inlet tube such that anesthetic gas can flow through the base and the nipple member for delivery via the outlet opening into the oral cavity of the patient. Clayton fails to specifically teach a breathing circuit including a source of anesthetic gas and an inlet tube connected to the source and operable to transport the gas toward the patient. However, Clayton does disclose the use of the pacifier to administer medications through the tube. It would be well within the scope of one of ordinary skill to provide a breathing circuit with an inlet for delivering gases to the patient including a source of anesthetic gas as a form of administering medicament to the patient.

23. **As to claim 14**, Clayton teaches a medical pacifier wherein the base includes a base plate disposed generally perpendicular to a longitudinal axis of the nipple member, the base plate having a concave front surface facing the nipple member and a convex rear surface facing away from the nipple member.

24. **As to claim 15**, Clayton teaches a medical pacifier wherein the base further includes a connector **33** projecting from the rear surface of the base plate, wherein the lumen extends through the connector and the inlet opening is disposed in a proximal end of the connector.

25. **As to claim 16**, Clayton teaches essentially all of the limitations except for the specific dimensions of the connector. However, Applicant has not set forth how the particular limitation solves a stated problem or is advantages over the prior art, therefore, the connector of Clayton would perform equally as well. Finally, such a limitation may be arrived through routine observation and experimentation.

26. **As to claim 17**, Clayton fails to specifically teach a breathing circuit which includes an outlet tube connected to the source, and the inlet tube and the outlet tube being joined to form a single tube end adapted to fitted over the proximal end of the connector. However, it would be well within the scope of one of ordinary skill in the art to provide an outlet tube to deliver gases from the patient and the limitation with respect to the outlet and inlet tube joined to form a single tube end may be arrived through routine observation and experimentation for ease of manageability of the breathing circuit.



Art Unit: 3761

27. **As to claim 18**, Clayton teaches essentially all of the limitations except for the specific shape of the connector. However, Applicant has not set forth how the particular limitation solves a stated problem or is advantages over the prior art, therefore, the connector of Clayton would perform equally as well. Finally, such a limitation may be arrived through routine observation and experimentation.

28. **As to claim 19**, Clayton teaches an apparatus wherein the outlet opening is provided in a distal end of the nipple member.

29. **As to claim 20**, pacifiers with a handle ring pivotally attached to the rear surface of the base plate are known in the art (see cited references).

30. **As to claim 22**, pacifiers wherein the nipple member is impregnated with medication are known in the art (see cited references).

31. **As to claim 23**, Clayton teaches essentially all of the limitations except for wherein the pacifier is of one-piece construction. However, such a construction is known in the art (see cited references).

32. Claim 27 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hinkle.

33. **As to claim 27**, Hinkle fails to specifically teach a method wherein connecting a gas source includes connecting an external tube to the inlet opening. However, such a connection would be well within the scope of one of ordinary skill in the art in order to deliver the gas to the patient.

34. Claims 8 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Clayton in view of Stevens (US 5810000).

Art Unit: 3761

35. **As to claims 8 and 21**, Clayton teaches essentially all of the limitations except for wherein the pacifier includes a longitudinal slit formed therein for receiving an endoscope. However, Stevens teaches a longitudinal slit formed in a pacifier to introduce an endotracheal tube for ventilating, anesthetizing, or supplying oxygen to infants. Therefore, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the pacifier of Clayton to include the longitudinal slit of Stevens to introduce an endotracheal tube for ventilating, anesthetizing, or supplying oxygen to infants.

36. Claim 30 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hinkle in view of Stevens (US 5810000).

37. **As to claim 30**, Hinkle teaches essentially all of the limitations except for including inserting an endoscope through the pacifier and into the oral cavity of the patient. However, Stevens does teach a method of inserting an endoscope thorough the pacifier and into the oral cavity of a patient to introduce an endotracheal tube for ventilating, anesthetizing, or supplying oxygen to infants. Therefore, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the method of Hinkle to include the inserting an endoscope of Stevens to introduce an endotracheal tube for ventilating, anesthetizing, or supplying oxygen to infants.

### ***Conclusion***


38. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. US 6120528, US 6110193, US 5868131, US 5772685, US 5542415, US 5512047, US 4994076, US 4132232, and US 3669112.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mital B. Patel whose telephone number is 703-306-5444. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (8:00 - 4:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Aaron Lewis can be reached on 703-308-0716. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-306-4520 for regular communications and 703-306-4520 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0858.

mbp  
June 10, 2002

  
**GLENN K. DAWSON**  
**PRIMARY EXAMINER**